Trouble in the periodic table

As chemists we see the periodic table as an icon but its design continues to evolve and is the source of much debate.

The periodic table has been around for almost 150 years and has survived many revolutionary discoveries including that of subatomic structure and the development of quantum mechanics and relativity.

The order of the elements was originally provided by their increasing atomic weights (fig 1) but it was later found that atomic number provided a better ordering principle. For example, the elements tantalum and iodine fell perfectly into place according to their chemical behaviour but their position in the table would have been inverted if ordered strictly by their atomic weights.

Order for the elements

Today atomic number provides a one-dimensional sequence of the ordered elements. But the periodic table is a two-dimensional representation obtained by 'snapping' the one-dimensional sequence at certain points and placing the following sequences of elements underneath the previous ones.

Where ordering based on atomic number can be thought of as a primary classification, the vertical grouping of elements that are obtained by the snapping and placing procedure may be thought of providing a secondary classification.

Quantum mechanical solution

The modern explanation why the elements fall into vertical columns, showing similar properties, has been provided by quantum mechanics and describes the shell structure of electrons orbiting the nucleus of each kind of atom.

In short

- Various approaches created the shape of the periodic table we recognize today.
- The place next to some elements is disputed.

The elements in group 1, for example, all have one outer shell electron although they differ in their inner shell structures.

Lithium: \(Li^+\)
Sodium: \(Na^+\)
Potassium: \(K^+\)

It is the outer-shell electrons which govern their chemical properties and that's why they behave similarly. For instance, all these metals react with water, although the reactivity increases as one descends the group from lithium to potassium and beyond to caesium and rubidium.

An abundance of designs

Given these basic facts it is a little surprising to find so many different forms of the periodic table. Over 1000 of them have been published in articles or on websites.

Currently the first two elements, hydrogen and helium, present
something of an anomaly and there has always been some disagreement as to exactly which groups they should be assigned to. In the conventional modern periodic table, known as the medium-long form, these elements fall into groups 1 and 18 respectively – the alkali metals and the noble gases (Fig. 2).

The result is a rather awkward twin-tower shape to add to the already uneven shape the periodic table has. The initial awkwardness occurs because the alkali and alkaline earth metals fall on the left as a tall block followed by a shallower ten element-wide block containing the transition metals. This is followed on the right edge by another taller block, which is six elements wide and contains a mixture of metals, semi-metals and non-metals.

In quantum mechanical terms these blocks are characterized by the filling of (from left to right) s orbitals, p orbitals and d orbitals. There is a further oddity in the disconnected block of elements that appears as a kind of footnote to the entire system. These elements involve the filling of orbitals.

A place for helium
Another more regular representation, the left-step table (Fig. 3), was proposed almost 90 years ago by the Frenchman, Charles Janet.

Recently this form has enjoyed a revival because it also seems to display the order of orbital filling more clearly than the conventional form. It also displays the elements in one continuous sequence without any gaps or interruptions. There is an annoying drawback in that the element helium is removed from its usual place among the noble gases and moved to join the alkaline earths like beryllium, magnesium and calcium.

This move can be justified on the basis of the outer-electron structure of helium, which possesses two such electrons, as do the members of the alkaline earth group. From a chemical point of view, the placement of helium among these metals amounts to completing here by while helium is the most unreactive of all the elements in the periodic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>GROUP I, R_{1}O_{1}</th>
<th>GROUP II, R_{2}O_{2}</th>
<th>GROUP III, R_{3}O_{3}</th>
<th>GROUP IV, R_{4}O_{4}</th>
<th>GROUP V, R_{5}O_{5}</th>
<th>GROUP VI, R_{6}O_{6}</th>
<th>GROUP VII, R_{7}O_{7}</th>
<th>GROUP VIII, R_{8}O_{8}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Li=7</td>
<td>Be=9</td>
<td>Mg=12</td>
<td>Ca=14</td>
<td>Na=16</td>
<td>K=19</td>
<td>Rb=39</td>
<td>Cs=55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Na=13</td>
<td>Al=17</td>
<td>Si=20</td>
<td>P=31</td>
<td>S=32</td>
<td>Cl=35</td>
<td>Br=80</td>
<td>I=100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>K=39</td>
<td>Ca=40</td>
<td>Ti=41</td>
<td>V=51</td>
<td>Cr=52</td>
<td>Mn=55</td>
<td>Fe=56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(Ca=56) Zn=66</td>
<td>Mn=78</td>
<td>Zr=90</td>
<td>Nb=94</td>
<td>Mo=96</td>
<td>Tc=105</td>
<td>Ru=110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rb=85</td>
<td>Sr=87</td>
<td>Y=88</td>
<td>Zr=90</td>
<td>Nb=94</td>
<td>M=91</td>
<td>Ag=108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sr=87</td>
<td>Cd=112</td>
<td>In=111</td>
<td>Sm=148</td>
<td>Eu=152</td>
<td>Tm=157</td>
<td>Yb=162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cs=133</td>
<td>Ba=137</td>
<td>La=160</td>
<td>Lr=186</td>
<td>W=184</td>
<td>Os=193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(Am=199) Hg=200</td>
<td>Tl=204</td>
<td>Pb=207</td>
<td>Bi=208</td>
<td>U=210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Er=177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sm=162</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
table, the alkaline earths are quite reactive. This question of where to place helium is one sign of trouble in the periodic table.

Hydrogen doesn't fit either. Even the first element, hydrogen, has been causing trouble for some time. It can both lose as well as gain an electron. As a result it can be placed in group 1, as it usually is, or with the halogens in group 17 (Fig. 4).

It has even been suggested that hydrogen should be grouped at the head of the carbon group since, like carbon, its atom has a half-filled outer shell. Some authors avoid the hydrogen-helium problem altogether by removing them from the main body and allowing them to float above the rest of the table.

More recently it has been suggested that the left-step table could be modified to avoid the hiatus of placing helium among the alkaline earths. This new version relocates hydrogen to the top of the halogens, while retaining the overall form of the left-step table (Fig. 2).

There is an additional bonus in presenting the periodic table in this manner. Janet's original left-step table leads to the loss of a perfect atomic number triad, in moving helium out of the noble gas group, whereas this new table retains the helium triad.

Working with triads

A triad is the name given to a group of three elements falling vertically in any group of the periodic table. The atomic number of the middle element is the average of the atomic numbers above and below it.

Historically, the discovery of triads using atomic weights rather than atomic numbers was the first hint of any quantitative patterns among the atomic weights of the elements. Now in the proposed modified left-step table, the movement of hydrogen to the halogen group produces a new perfect atomic number triad among the elements hydrogen, fluorine and chlorine.

Trouble in group 3

Another form of trouble lies in group 3. Many textbook and wall-chart periodic tables show group 3 as consisting of the elements scandium, yttrium, lanthanum and actinium. A similar number of tables show a difference in the last two elements by featuring lutetium and lawrencium instead.
Although there are some quite convincing chemical and physical analogies in favour of the greater similarity among the second set of four elements, not everybody has been persuaded to make the change. Once again, the notion of trying to maximise the relativistic effects begins to play a part. As the charge of the nucleus of an atom increases, the velocity of the inner electrons also increases. If velocities are high enough, this may cause distortions to the orbitals of an atom and, as a result, modify its chemical properties.

Initially, when they were first synthesised, the chemical reactions of elements 106 and 107 (terbium and dubnium) could not be examined as the lifetimes of their isotopes was extremely short. But after some years under more stable conditions were synthesised and chemical experiments were conducted. For example, the elements rubidium fall into group 4 and would therefore be expected to behave like its fellow members, strontium and barium. Experiments carried out in the 1990s showed that the relativistic effects were significant enough to make rubidium, strontium and barium behave anomalously. For example, RbCl was found to have an anomalously high volatility.

Crumbling periodicity It began to look as if the concept of chemical periodicity was finally beginning to peter out. It appeared as though relativistic effects were spotting a chemical generalisation that had so far stood the test of time, the most general of all chemical generalisations.

Soon even higher elements were synthesised. Not surprisingly these were expected to behave even more anomalously due to their even higher atomic numbers but it turned out this is not what happened.

When the chemical properties of element 106 (terbium) were examined the title of the news reports included the words 'Boeing seaborgium'. When element 107 (bohrium) was synthesised and later examined chemically, it too showed that chemical periodicity was back in business. What had begun to seem like a

### Box 1

Two possible sets of elements in group 1 of the periodic table and the triads formed from the last 3 elements from each set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>39</th>
<th>57</th>
<th>89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>39 + 57 + 89 = 145 (not 39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>39</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lz</td>
<td>39 + 71 + 103 = 213 (not 39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Döbereiner and triads

Going back to bohrium, we can consider some quantitative data that has been obtained on this element in order to examine the question of its classification more closely.

As we have seen, the concept of triads of elements has been around much longer than periodic table. The concept was initially discovered by the chemist Döbereiner; a good fifty years before Mendeleev published the first fully mature periodic system, 3.

When atomic numbers succeeded atomic weight, the triads that had only been approximate, became exact. The occurrence of triads became explained as the consequence of the twinning of period lengths that occurs in the periodic table. Apart from the exceptional first short period of two elements, the next period of eight repeats than the period of eighteen repeats and then the period of thirty-two repeats.

As a result of this pattern, any three elements that fall vertically in the periodic table will provide a perfect triad if the second and third of them are members of periods of equal length.

Predictions for bohrium

What does all this have to do with element 107?

If we consider the sublimation enthalpies of TiO2, (Cl, Br)2-Cl and BrO2, the values are 65, 66 and 89 kJ/mol, respectively. If one had tried to predict the value for bohrium from those of the other two on the basis of the triad principle, the prediction would have been 83 kJ/mol, which is only 6.7% away from the experimental value. This small error helps to support the notion that bohrium belongs firmly in group 7 irrespective of any relativistic effects.

Two directional triads

When Mendeleev made his famous predictions of the properties of gallium, germanium, and scandium, he essentially used triad-like calculations. In fact just for good measure, he used triads in two directions.

For example, he explained how the atomic weight of selenium could be predicted although it was actually known and could be used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the method (box 2).

Triads have an excellent pedigree when it comes to the periodic table. It may be that they can help us again now in resolving these persistent problems concerning the placement of certain elements in the periodic table.

The debate continues

To confirm the triad at the high atomic number end of the periodic table has now subsided at least for the time being. The trouble at low atomic number, namely hydrogen and helium, is still an open question.

In the conventional table, hydrogen and helium are seen to be well classified, but the table lacks regularity and contains awkward gaps between many elements. In the left-step table regularity is displayed to the greatest extent but chemists understandably worry about placing helium among the alkaline earths.

Whatever one might think about these debates the fact remains that the periodic system which the periodic table encapsulates and displays is one of the most remarkable discoveries in all of science. It provides an underlying relationship between all the 118 elements so far discovered or synthesised. Substances as diverse as gold, helium, sulfur, mercury and oxygen are all connected together in a two-dimensional array. This same two-dimensional array has also motivated numerous discoveries in atomic and molecular physics as of course many more in the field of chemistry.

Eric Scerri is a lecturer in chemistry and philosophy of science at UCLA, California, US.
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